# DNA Hydrolysis by Homo- and Heteronuclear Cu(II)–Ni(II) Complexes of Two Diester-type Ligands

## Nagihan Saglam<sup>1</sup>, Ahmet Colak<sup>1</sup>, Kerim Serbest<sup>2</sup>, Serdar Karaböcek<sup>1</sup>, and Saadettin Güner<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Chemistry, Karadeniz Technical University, TR-61080 Trabzon, Turkey

<sup>2</sup> Department of Chemistry, Karadeniz Technical University, Rize Faculty of Arts and Sciences, TR-53100 Rize, Turkey

Received November 11, 2003; accepted (revised) February 10, 2004 Published online May 21, 2004  $\odot$  Springer-Verlag 2004

**Summary.** Nucleolytic activities of novel mononuclear  $Cu(II)$ , homo- and heterodinuclear  $Cu(II)$ – Ni(II) complexes with two diester-type ligands were investigated on pCYTEXP by neutral agarose gel electrophoresis. The analyses of the cleavage products obtained electrophoretically indicate that the examined complexes induce very similar conformational changes on supercoiled DNA by converting supercoiled form to nicked form. At concentrations greater than  $100 \mu M$ , the complexes possessed effective nucleolytic activities for 10 min of incubation time. However, their nucleolytic activities did not increase significantly with longer periods of incubation. The pH-nucleolytic activity profiles of the complexes differed significantly. Metal complex induced DNA cleavage was also tested for inhibition by various radical scavengers. It could be proposed from the data that diffusible intermediate oxidants are not involved in these reactions or they are not necessary for DNA cleavage since none of antioxidants inhibited DNA cleaving activities of the complexes.

Keywords. DNA cleavage; Chemical nuclease; Nucleolytic activity; Copper; Nickel.

## Introduction

Hydrolytic or oxidative cleavage of nucleic acids are of increasing importance in biological processes and pharmacology. The non-degradative and site specific scission of nucleic acids has offered many applications for the investigation of DNA and RNA structure, the design of new chemotherapeutic agents, and the manipulation of genes [1]. Conventional enzymatic nucleases such as restriction endonucleases and topoisomerases have been used widely in molecular biology applications [2]. In recent years, the interest in modeling and synthesis of chemical nucleases or nuclease mimics, and the use of these

Corresponding author. E-mail: sguner@ktu.edu.tr

agents in pharmacology and biotechnology have been increased [3, 4]. Chemical nucleases have been reported to possess some advantages over conventional enzymatic nucleases in that they have smaller size and thus can reach more sterically hindered regions of a macromolecule. In addition, these compounds can be manipulated and derivatized to obtain effective chemical nucleases for specific purposes such as catalysis or chemotherapy. These abilities and advantages made chemical nucleases valuable tools for several biological processes [3, 5, 6].

Nucleolytic activities of several transition metal complexes have been extensively studied [7–9]. These redox active compounds cleave the phosphodiester backbone of DNA molecules under physiological  $pH$  and temperature [9, 10]. Among these compounds, copper(II) complexes have a wide range of biological activity and some of these complexes have been known to be antitumour, antiviral, and anti-inflammatory agents. In addition, since copper(II) complexes especially with Schiff base ligands are models of physical and chemical behaviour of biological copper systems, considerable attention has been focused on these compounds [11]. The copper(II) complex of 1,10-phenathroline was the first synthetic transition metal complex effectively exhibiting nucleolytic activity [7]. Several other compounds such as bleomycine- [12], pyrrole- [13, 14], thioether- [15, 16], oxime- [17], peptide- [18], and imidazole-type [19] ligands which were complexed with copper ions also exhibited DNA-cleaving activities.

In the present paper, we report the potentials for nucleolytic activity of mononuclear copper(II), homodinuclear copper(II) and heterodinuclear copper(II) nickel(II) complexes with two ester-type ligands, 1,9-dioxa-3,6-dithiacyclotridecane-10,12-dione (1) and 1,4-dioxa-7,10-dithiacyclododecane-2,3-dione (2).

### Results and Discussion

It was previously observed in our laboratories that several copper complexes prepared from oxime-, tetramin-, tetrathioether-, and Schiff base-type ligands had potential superoxide dismutase- or nuclease-mimicking activities [15, 17, 20–22]. These observations have prompted us to synthesize various homo- or heteronuclear copper complexes with novel ligands having the requirements for such enzyme mimicking activities.

The ligands 1 and 2, and their  $Cu(II)/Ni(II)$  complexes were prepared and characterized as reported previously  $[23]$ . DNA cleavage activities of Cu(II) and Ni(II) complexes with ligands 1 and 2 were electrophoretically investigated with the relaxation of supercoiled plasmid (form I) DNA to nicked (form II) and to linear (form III)  $DNA$  and/or smaller fragments. Reactions were carried out by using supercoiled form of pCYTEXP (5 kb). Of the synthesized 10 complexes with either 1 or 2, only three complexes (mononuclear  $Cu(II)$  complex (3) with 1, homodinuclear Cu(II) complex (4) with 2 and heterodinuclear Cu(II)–Ni(II) complex (5) with 1) showed effective DNA-cleaving activity. Control experiments carried out with supercoiled DNA in the absence of the complexes showed no background cleavage, and perchlorates of copper $(II)$  or nickel $(II)$ and ligands at concentrations where complexes showed cleavage of DNA were ineffective.

DNA Hydrolysis by Homo- and Heteronuclear Cu(II)–Ni(II) 1025



Fig. 1. Proposed structures for the mononuclear copper (3), dinuclear copper (4), and dinuclear copper/nickel (5) complexes of the oxime ligands (1 or 2)

Concentration dependency of nucleolytic efficiencies of the complexes were examined at their concentrations between  $0.1-1000 \mu M$  for 10 and 60 min of reaction period using  $52 \mu g/cm^3$  DNA in phosphate buffer, pH 7.0 (Fig. 2).  $100 \mu M$  3,  $100 \mu M$  4, or 500  $\mu M$  5 induce single strand cleavage of pCYTEXP and convert the circular supercoiled DNA (form I) to nicked DNA (form II) within the 10 min incubation period (Fig. 2A, 2B, and 2C). At 500  $\mu$ *M* 3, a small fragment of form II is converted to form III. 1000  $\mu$ *M* 3 and 500 and 1000  $\mu$ *M* 4 completely degraded DNA into smaller fragments. 3 had no such degredation activity up to  $1000 \mu M$  concentration within short period of time.

The DNA cleaving activity of 3, 4, and 5 for 60 min incubation period was also investigated for complex concentration ranging  $0.1-1000 \mu M$  in phosphate buffer, pH 7.0 (Fig. 3). At  $100 \mu M$  concentration of either 3 (Fig. 3A) or 4 (Fig. 3B), supercoiled DNA is converted to nicked DNA form. At concentrations of 3 and 4 greater than 100  $\mu$ M, both complexes completely degraded DNA forms. However, 5 with greater concentrations produced only form II and the DNA was not degraded completely (Fig. 3C). At lower concentrations (up to  $100 \mu M$ ), none of the complexes showed effective DNA nicking activity. No linear DNA except in the presence of 100  $\mu$ M 3 was formed within 10 min or 60 min incubation periods at 0.1– 1000  $\mu$ M complex. These results indicate that scission activity of the complexes is both concentration- and time-dependent (Table 1). It is clear from these electrophoretic data that the complexes are not able to carry out double strand DNA scission on nearby sites and therefore supercoiled DNA is converted to nicked DNA at lower complex concentrations, and finally to small DNA fragments at higher concentrations. The complexes may possibly induce conformational changes on plasmid DNA by making single strand nicking, therefore converting supercoiled form to nicked form. There is a significant increase to the conversion of supercoiled DNA to nicked DNA especially by 3 and 4 when the reaction period is increased from 10 to 60 min (Table 1). These data indicate the production of intermediates responsible for the cleavage of DNA upon increasing the reaction period. Moreover, formation of linear form was not observed (Figs. 2 and 3).



Fig. 2. DNA cleavage as a function of increasing concentrations of complexes 3 (Fig. 2A), 4 (Fig. 2B), and 5 (Fig. 2C) for 10 min of reaction period as described under Experimental Section

pH dependencies of the interaction of the complexes with plasmid DNA were performed in appropriate buffers for 10 min of reaction period.  $pH$  was varied over a pH range of 6.0–7.5 in 50 mM phosphate buffer and pH 8.0–10.0 in 20 mM trisacetate buffer. The effect of complexes on supercoiled form of DNA between  $pH$  6.0–10.0 was observed electrophoretically by monitoring the DNA forms to be produced and the data were analyzed by plotting *pH* changes versus percentage of DNA form II present per lane (Fig. 4). All complexes had different DNA scission activity at different  $pH$  values. 3 was nucleolytically active especially at either acidic or basic  $pH$  values. In contrast, 4 had nucleolytic activity at a wide range of  $pH$  values. 5 behaved differently from those of 3 and 4. The nucleolytic activity of 5 was extremely low at  $pH$  7.0–8.0 and values greater than  $pH$  9.0.



Fig. 3. DNA cleavage as a function of increasing concentrations of complexes 3 (Fig. 3A), 4 (Fig. 3B), and 5 (Fig. 3C) for 60 min of reaction period as described under Experimental Section







Fig. 4. A plot of the reaction  $pH$  versus the percentage of form II DNA; form III DNA was not formed; the amount of form II produced in the presence of the oxime complexes 3, 4, and 5 was determined by BioDoc Analyze System

Metal complex induced DNA cleavage was also tested for inhibition by various radical scavengers as superoxide dismutase, sodium azide, thiourea, and potassium iodide. Sodium azide is a singlet oxygen scavenger, superoxide dismutase (SOD) removes superoxide anion, and potassium iodide and thiourea eliminate hydroxyl radicals. It is clear from the data (Fig. 5) that none of the radical scavengers inhibited the metal complex-induced DNA cleavage since similar DNA cleavage profiles were seen with the complexes or with the complexes and radical scavengers. The data observed when the complexes and these antioxidants are combined indicates generation of either the same activated intermediates or different intermediates possessing similar or greater DNA cleaving specificities. These results also suggest that diffusible intermediate oxidants are not involved in these reactions or not necessary for DNA cleavage [24–27].

In general, perchlorate salts of metals possess extremely high ionic characters due to the resonance stabilization of perchlorate anion. One of the reasons for the preparation and study of perchlorate salts for DNA hydrolysis is that the cationic part of complexes 3, 4, and 5 stays free in aqueous medium. In this case, the interaction efficiency of these cations with DNA is greatly enhanced. Therefore, the interaction with DNA might involve ionic species rather than oxygen radicals as observed by insensitivity of various radical scavengers to nucleolytic activity of complexes. This is well consistent with previous information of hydrolytic attack of the cationic metal complex on the phosphodiester backbone of DNA [24, 26]. Copper(II) and/or nickel(II) centers as strong Lewis acids in such complexes facilitate deprotonation of the coordinated water molecule and produce a hydroxide nucleophile to form the active catalyst [1, 26]. One of the possible active species is the hydroxo form  $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(L)\mathrm{OH}\right]^{+}$  of the complexes formed when a proton is removed from the metal-coordinated water molecule in all three complexes.

The present study has established nucleolytic activities of novel mononuclear  $Cu(II)$ , homo- and heterodinuclear  $Cu(II)$ –Ni $(II)$  complexes with two diester-type ligands. The DNA cleavage activities of the examined complexes are concentration-, pH-, and time-dependent. The complexes possessed effective nucleolytic



Fig. 5. Effect of various radical scavengers on DNA cleavage induced by the oxime complexes 3 (Fig. 5A), 4 (Fig. 5B), and 5 (Fig. 5C) with  $52 \mu g/cm^3$  DNA in phosphate buffer, pH 7.0; the final concentrations of all the scavengers, sodium azide  $(SA)$ , potassium iodide  $(PI)$ , and thiourea  $(TU)$ , were 50 mM except that superoxide dismutase (SOD) was  $0.1 \text{ mg/cm}^3$ 

activities for shorter periods of reaction times which indicate the generation of some activated intermediates. It could be proposed from metal complex induced DNA cleavage data obtained in the presence of radical scavengers that diffusible intermediate oxidants are not involved in these reactions or not necessary for DNA cleavage.

#### Materials

Circularly closed superhelical plasmid (pCYTEXP) was a gift from Dr. J.E.G. McCarthy (Biomolecular Sciences, UMIST, UK). E. coli JM101 cells harbouring the plasmid were grown in LB media for overnight [28] and purified by the Promega Corporation Wizard Plus SV Minipreps DNA Purification Systems (Madison, USA). All commercial reagents were of reagent quality.

#### Preparation of the Ligands and their Metal Complexes

1,9-Dioxa-3,6-dithiacyclotridecane-10,12-dione (1) and 1,4-dioxa-7,10-dithiacyclododecane-2,3-dione (2) ligands and their mononuclear copper(II) (3), homodinuclear copper(II) (4), and heterodinuclear copper(II)–nickel(II) (5) complexes (Fig. 1) were prepared as reported [23].

#### DNA Cleavage Studies

Stock solutions of the complexes were prepared dissolving the complexes in  $100 \text{ mm}^3$  of dimethylsulfoxide and dilution to 1 cm<sup>3</sup> with Milli-Q water. DNA cleaving activities of all complexes were assayed with supercoiled plasmid pCYTEXP in potassium phosphate or trisacetate buffer at 37°C. Reaction mixtures contained individual metal complexes,  $50 \text{ mM}$  potassium phosphate or  $20 \text{ mM}$  trisacetate buffer, pCYTEXP (52  $\mu$ g/cm<sup>3</sup>), and pure water at various conditions. In experiments to determine the effect of concentrations of the complexes,  $pH$  of medium and incubation time on DNA cleavage, supercoiled DNA was treated with  $0.1-1000 \mu M$  solutions of the complexes in a pH range of 6.0–7.5 in 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer or  $pH$  8.0–10.0 in 20 mM trisacetate buffer. Reaction mixtures were incubated for 5, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, and 60 min at 37°C. Reactions were terminated by the addition of 5 mm<sup>3</sup> of the terminating agent containing 10 mM  $\beta$ -mercaptoethanol, 20% glycerol, 25 mM EDTA, and 0.05% bromophenol blue:xylene cyanol (1:1) after an appropriate incubation period as described previously [15]. DNA strand cleavage was investigated on 0.7% neutral agarose gels including  $0.5 \,\text{mg/cm}^3$  ethidium bromide by conversion of the supercoiled plasmid (form I) DNA initially to the nicked (form II) and finally to linear (form III) plasmids. The gels were viewed on a transilluminator, and photographed by using a BioDoc Analyze System. Band intensities on agarose gels were determined by using the same system.

#### Effect of Radical Scavengers on DNA Breakage

The nucleolytic properties of 3, 4, and 5 on pCYTEXP in the presence of various radical scavengers were assayed electrophoretically. pCYTEXP  $(52 \,\mu g/cm^3)$  was incubated in a reaction mixture (10 mm<sup>3</sup>) containing effective concentrations of individual metal complexes and various radical scavengers as sodium azide, potassium iodide, thiourea, and superoxide dismutase (SOD) in 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer,  $pH$  7.5 at 37°C. Reactions were terminated by the addition of 5 mm<sup>3</sup> of a terminating agent containing 20% glycerol, 25 mM EDTA, 10 mM  $\beta$ -mercaptoethanol, and 0.05% bromophenol blue:xylene cyanol (1:1) after 1 h of incubation. DNA strand cleavage was estimated on 0.7% neutral agarose gel including  $0.5 \,\text{mg/cm}^3$  ethidium bromide. Quantitative analysis of cleavage products was performed by BioDoc Analyze System.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by The Research Fund of Karadeniz Technical University (P.Nr. 20.111.002.3 to S. G.). The authors thank Drs. S. Canakci and A.O. Beldüz (Karadeniz Technical DNA Hydrolysis by Homo- and Heteronuclear Cu(II)–Ni(II) 1031

University, Department of Biology) for their kind support and Dr. I. Degirmencioglu for critical reading of the manuscript.

#### References

- [1] Cowan JA (2001) Curr Op Chem Biol 5: 634
- [2] Branum ME, Que L (1999) J Biol Inorg Chem 4: 593
- [3] Papavassiliou AG (1995) Biochem J 305: 345
- [4] Wiseman H, Halliwell B (1996) Biochem J 313: 17
- [5] Blackburn GM, Gait MJ (eds) (1990) Reversible Interactions of Nucleic Acids with Small Molecules. In: Nucleic Acids in Chemistry and Biology, Oxford University Press, Oxford, p 295
- [6] Kaim W, Schwederski B (eds) (1994) Chemotherapy with Compounds of Some Nonessential Elements. In: Bioinorganic Chemistry: Inorganic Elements in The Chemistry of Life, John Wiley and Sons, Chichester, p 363
- [7] Pope LE, Sigman DS (1984) Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 81: 3
- [8] Thomas AM, Nethaji M, Mahadevan S, Chakravarty AR (2003) J Inorg Biochem 94: 171
- [9] McLachlan GA, Muller JG, Rokita SE, Burrows C (1996) J Inorg Chim Acta 251: 193
- [10] Sigman DS, Graham DR, D'Aurura V, Stern AM (1979) J Biol Chem 254: 12269
- [11] Reddy KH, Reddy PS (2000) Transition Met Chem 25: 505
- [12] Kane SA, Hecht MS (1994) Prog Nucleic Acid Res Mol Biol 49: 313
- [13] Borah S, Melvin MS, Lindquist N, Manderville RA (1998) J Am Chem Soc 120: 4557
- [14] Asad SF, Singh S, Ahmad A, Hadi SM (1999) Biochim Biophys Acta 1428: 201
- [15] Dülger S, Saglam N, Beldüz AO, Güner S, Karaböcek S (2000) Biometals 13: 261
- [16] Athar F, Arjmand F, Tabassum S (2001) Transition Met Chem 26: 426
- [17] Saglam N, Colak A, Serbest K, Dülger S, Güner S, Karaböcek S, Beldüz AO (2002) Biometals 15: 357
- [18] Garcia-Raso A, Fiol JJ, Adrover B, Moreno V, Mata I, Espinosa E, Molins E (2003) J Inorg Biochem 95: 77
- [19] Gonzalez-Alvarez M, Alzuet G, Borras J, Macias B, del Olmo M, Liu-Gonzalez M, Sanz F (2002) J Inorg Biochem 89: 29
- [20] Güner S, Karaböcek S (1998) J Biochem Mol Toxicol 12: 53
- [21] Güner S, Karaböcek S, Kaklikkaya I (1999) Bioorg Med Chem 7: 329
- [22] Serbest K, Colak A, Güner S, Karaböcek S (2001) Trans Metal Chem 26: 625
- [23] Karaböcek S, Değirmencioglu I, Karaböcek N, Serbest K (2003) Transition Met Chem 28: 529
- [24] Gravert DJ, Griffin JH (1993) J Org Chem 58: 820
- [25] Santra BK, Reddy PAN, Neelakanta G, Mahadevan S, Nethaji M, Chakravarty AR (2002) J Inorg Biochem 89: 191
- [26] Rossi LM, Neves A, Hörner R, Terenzi H, Szpoganicz B, Sugai J (2002) Inorg Chim Acta 337: 366
- [27] Sugden KD (1999) J Inorg Biochem 77: 177
- [28] Belev TN, Singh M, McCarthy JEG (1991) Plasmid 26: 147